

Meeting Summary

Janesville Road Reconstruction Advisory Group

2nd Meeting

Muskego Public Library, Rooms 4 and 5
December 4, 2007
4:00 pm to 6:00 pm

General

The second Advisory Group meeting was held on Tuesday, December 4, 2007 from 4:00 pm to 6:00 pm at the Muskego Public Library in meeting rooms 4 and 5. Buddy Desai, CH2M HILL, facilitated the meeting. Approximately 15 members of the Advisory Group and 8 members of the community at-large were present at the meeting.

Advisory Group Members Present at the Meeting

Gerald Boisits	Rob Glazier	Len Pilak
Kathy Chiaverotti	Mary Hinners	Don Reidy
Judy DeWinter	Ray Ingold	Steve Roncke
Andy Drefahl	Mary Llanas	Gary Rosploch
Kurt Fifer	Tom Ludwig	Chuck Wichgers
Craig Fischer		

Advisory Group Members Not Present at the Meeting

Andy Mack	Michael Young	Rick Petfalski
Jerry Hulbert	Steve Ziegler	Mark Noah
Jim Fuerst		

Technical Resources Present at the Meeting

Waukesha County – Ed Hinrichs
City of Muskego – Jeff Muenkel, Jeff Rainwater, Brian Peterson, Larry Witzling
Ayres Associates – Joe Gallamore
CH2M HILL – Buddy Desai, Jim Jodie, Dave Rodebaugh

Meeting Agenda

- 4:00 Convene the Meeting
 - Welcome and Introductory Comments (Buddy Desai)
 - Agenda Review (Buddy Desai, CH2M HILL)
 - Introductions (Buddy Desai, CH2M HILL)
- 4:10 Operating Agreement Review and Signing (Buddy Desai, CH2M HILL)
- 4:20 Recap Major Issues Related to Janesville Road (Buddy Desai, CH2M HILL)
- 4:40 Establish the Problem Definition (Buddy Desai, CH2M HILL)

- 5:00 Rating of Key Project Issues (Buddy Desai, CH2M HILL)
- 5:50 Streetscaping Discussion (Brian Peterson, PDI)
- 6:00 Adjourn

Materials Available at the Meeting

Materials available for discussion at the meeting, in addition to the agenda, included a handout containing the following:

- November 6, 2007 CSS Advisory
- Janesville Road Reconstruction Advisory Group Operating Agreement
- Issues and Desires Exercise Exhibit
- Summarized Goals Exhibit

Future Meeting Schedule

- February 12, 2008 – 3rd Advisory Group Meeting
- March 11, 2008 – Public Information Meeting
- April 8, 2008 – 4th Advisory Group Meeting

Introductions

The meeting was convened by Buddy Desai, CH2M HILL. Buddy welcomed the group and introduced the consultant team and the resource staff from the county. The City's streetscaping consultant team, PDI, and Jeff Muenkel, City of Muskego's Planning Director were in attendance. Buddy thanked the Advisory Group members for participating in the night's meeting and their continued commitment to the process.

Operating Agreement

Buddy Desai continued the meeting with a discussion of the operating agreement and asked if anyone had any comments about the operating agreement. No comments were offered. Buddy circulated the signature sheet for the operating agreement to each of the AG members for signing. All members present, at the time the sheet was circulated, signed the Operating Agreement.

Recap Major Issues

Buddy provided a recap of the group exercise from meeting #1. An exhibit that listed the various issues and desires offered by the AG was displayed. Buddy asked if there were any other issues or desires that should be included. The group did not offer any additional issues for consideration.

Establish the Problem Definition

Buddy displayed an exhibit that consisted of the problem definition that he developed based on the group exercise from the first AG meeting. The purpose of developing a Project Definition is to provide general direction of the major goals and issues to be addressed by the project.

The improvements to Janesville road (CTH L) will provide an improved arterial roadway between Racine Avenue to Moorland Road. The roadway should serve its

proposed transportation functions of enhancing access and improving mobility while complementing adjacent land uses, addressing pedestrian and vehicular safety, enhancing opportunities for economic development, and developing a community identity for the City of Muskego.

Buddy read the definition and asked if there were any comments. Mary Hinnners asked what an environmental report was. Dave Rodebaugh explained the environmental analysis process and what was going to be prepared for the Janesville Road project. Rob Glazier asked that problem definition be changed to project definition so that it connotes a more positive view (project versus problem). Some minor comments were offered regarding some of the wording. The project definition was refined based on those comments.

Summarized Goals

Buddy noted that the issues identified were grouped into a set of goals (like issues were grouped together to form a goal) so that the Advisory Group could work through an exercise aimed at prioritizing the most important goals for the project. An exhibit was available that listed those goals. No comments were made regarding those goals.

Rating of Key Project Issues

Buddy then led the group in an exercise to establish the relative ranking/rating of the summarized goals. Note cards were given to each of the Advisory Group members for individual and group rankings. After the Advisory Group completed the individual exercise, the Advisory Group was divided into four groups and asked to come up with a group ranking of the summarized goals.

Results of the individual exercise were as follows:

Individual

Goal Letter	Goal	Number Selecting	Total Point Value
E	Accommodate/enhance economic development opportunities	13	41
C	Develop downtown Muskego identity/Streetscaping	12	40
H	Improve operations/relieve congestion	12	36
A	Accommodate pedestrians/safety	10	29
B	Improve geometrics/vehicle safety	9	29
I	Accommodate existing businesses	8	24
D	Address noise and visual impacts	7	16
F	Limit takings/relocations	6	16
G	Address speeding	3	9

The results of the group exercise were as follows:

Group

Goal Letter	Goal	Number Selecting	Total Point Value
B	Improve geometrics/vehicle safety	4	14
E	Accommodate/enhance economic development opportunities	3	9
I	Accommodate existing businesses	3	8
A	Accommodate pedestrians/safety	3	7
C	Develop downtown Muskego identity/Streetscaping	2	7
H	Improve operations/relieve congestion	2	6
F	Limit takings/relocations	1	4
G	Address speeding	1	3
D	Address noise and visual impacts	1	2

After the exercise was completed and the results were shown to the group, Buddy asked if there were any comments. One member mentioned that he felt that goals E, F, and I should be combined as they represent similar issues. Another opined, for the same reason, that B, G and H should be combined. Andy Drefahl mentioned that the results indicate a that people and safety should come first. He also said that the group should dispense with the notion of a downtown identity because you cannot have a downtown with a four-lane road. He suggested that four lanes were incompatible with a downtown.

Streetscaping

Brian Peterson, Jeff Rainwater and Larry Witzling gave a short presentation on streetscaping and asked if members of the AG would like to be part of a subcommittee that will focus on streetscaping issues.

Adjournment

Buddy concluded the meeting by reminding the Advisory Group that the next meeting would be on February 12, 2008 from 4:00 pm to 6:00 pm at the Muskego Public Library in the same rooms as the current meeting.